

## Background

Intravenous (IV) patient controlled analgesia (PCA) has become a standard in the hospital setting. However, oral PCA is a relatively new concept. Implementation of this concept in the hospital has been done using a pill bottle with a dose of medication at the bedside, as well as a Velcro wrist pouch worn by the patient that contains a dose or two of opioid medication. Patients utilized PRN (as needed) self-dosing with these methods.<sup>1,2,3</sup>

A mechanical oral PCA device called the “MOD” (Medication on Demand) has been developed to eliminate unsecured medications, allow for electronic tabulation, and save nursing time. A previous study demonstrated that the mean time for administering PRN oral pain medications was 10.9 minutes per episode.<sup>4</sup> An evaluation of the MOD done in oncology patients showed that patients preferred using the device rather than calling and waiting on the nurse each time they needed PRN pain medication.<sup>5</sup>

## Methods

After determining eligibility for the study and obtaining informed consent, 60 adults scheduled for primary Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) at UPMC Shadyside Hospital were randomized into the study.

Patient demographics between the MOD device group and the control group were not different. (Table 1)

	Device Group N=30	Control Group N=30	p value
Age (years)	Mean 61.5 Min 41 – Max 79	Mean 61.4 Min 43 – Max 79	
Sex	Male 70% Female 30%	Male 60% Female 40%	0.42
Race/ethnicity	Afr. American 10% Caucasian 90%	Afr. American 7% Caucasian 93%	0.64
Length of Stay (hours)	47.7	52.2	0.46

## Methods

Upon arrival to the inpatient unit, a modified Mini Mental Status exam was administered to ensure patients had appropriate mental functioning. Next, each patient received oxycodone either 5mg (pain score ≤6) or 10mg (pain score ≥7). Thereafter, each patient in the MOD device group was able to self dose oxycodone 5mg every 2 hours PRN.

Patients in the control group could receive oxycodone 5mg-10mg every 4 hours PRN by requesting this from the nurse. An additional dose of oxycodone 5mg was available to patients in each group 30 minutes prior to physical therapy sessions.

Nurses completed reassessments of patients in the control group 60 minutes after patients received the PRN dose of medication. Patients self-dosing medication from the MOD device would be prompted by the device to enter a pain score 60 minutes after the patient would remove a dose from the device.

Both study groups completed a Patient Study Questionnaire on the day of discharge from the hospital. The patient questionnaires were based on several validated pain scales: The Brief Pain Inventory, The Ease of Care Questionnaire, and the Patient Global Assessment of Pain scale.

Each nurse was asked to fill out a questionnaire on post-operative day #2 (POD#2). The objective of this survey was to evaluate the nurse experience managing the patients pain.

Data are presented as a mean (range). Statistical analysis performed on patient demographics via a Chi-square analysis. A Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare groups for length of stay. A repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare groups for pain scores and oxycodone consumption.

## Results

POD#1: Patients in the MOD device group experienced significantly less pain and used significantly less oxycodone per dose than the patients in the control group. (Table 2)

Variable	Device Group	Control Group	p value
Mean Pain Scores	4.7	6.0	< 0.0001
Mean dose of oxycodone	5.1 mg	8.2 mg	< 0.0001
Mean total mg oxycodone taken	37.6 mg	32.1 mg	0.40
Mean total bolus dosing of bupivacaine in the peripheral nerve block	32.9 mg	40.9 mg	0.49

In males, pain was significantly less in the MOD device group. (Table 3)

Variable	Device Group N = 57	Control Group N = 59	p value by ANOVA
Mean Distance Walked in feet	155	131	0.21
Pain Score at Rest	4.0	3.57	0.38
Pain Score During Activity	4.57 Males Only 4.24	5.02 Males Only 5.22	0.23 0.03

The results of the nurse questionnaire were favorable toward using the MOD device. (Table 4)

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Favorable
The patient understands how to use the device (N=30)	3.3	3.3	20	73	93
The patient can easily use the device (N=29)	3.4	3.4	14	79	93
The device was easy to set up and program (N=18)	0	0	56	44	100
The device was easy to program for the time interval between doses (N=17)	0	0	53	47	100
The device was easy to query to obtain charting data (N=27)	3.7	0	52	44	96
The device functions reliably (N=28)	3.5	0	29	68	97
The device saves nursing time (N=30)	3.3	3.3	40	53	93
I would like to use the device for my patients who are capable of using the device (N=29)	3.4	0	45	52	97

## Discussion

This is the first prospective randomized clinical trial comparing an oral PCA device to nurse administration of PRN oral opioids for the management of pain following primary total hip arthroplasty.

In our study, women reported higher pain scores suggesting that gender differences may influence post operative pain, as suggested in a study by Liu in 2012.<sup>6</sup>

At UPMC, the nursing questionnaire provided positive data to support the use of the MOD device on this unit. A previous study at Penn State Hershey Medical Center in 2015 used the MOD with USB connectivity. A similar nursing questionnaire regarding their experience was done. Only 1 question received “agree” or “highly agree” answers 65% of the time.<sup>7</sup> The higher satisfaction rate at UPMC may be attributed to an upgrade allowing the MOD device to function wirelessly.

## Conclusion

Our data suggests that patients undergoing THA using the MOD device were able to achieve better pain control. Thus, the patients in the MOD groups experienced less pain at rest, less pain during physical therapy (males) while using less oxycodone per dose.

The wireless upgrade of this device may have made it more user friendly.

### References:

- Kastanias, P., Snaith, K. E., & Robinson, S. (2006). Patient Controlled Oral Analgesia: A low tech solution in a high tech world. *Pain Management Nursing*, 7(3), 126-132.
- Pasero, C., Quinn T.E., Portenoy, R.K., McCaffery, M. & Rizo, A. (2011). Opioid Analgesics. In C. Pasero & M. McCaffery (Eds.), *Pain Assessment and Pharmacologic Management*. Pain: Clinical Manual (Pp. 318-322). St. Louis: Mosby.
- Riordan, S. W., Beam, K., & Okabe-Yamamura, T. (2004). Introducing patient-controlled oral analgesia. *Nursing*, 34(9), 20.
- Pizzi, L. J., Chelly, J. E., & Marlin, V. (2014, September). Nursing Time Study for the Administration of a PRN Oral Analgesic on an Orthopedic Postoperative Unit. *Pain Management Nursing*, 15(3), 603-608.
- Rosati, J., Gallagher, M., & Shook, B. (2007). Evaluation of an oral patient-controlled analgesia device for pain management in oncology inpatients. *The Journal of Supportive Oncology*, 5(9), 443-448.
- Liu, S., Buvanendran, A., Rathmell, J., Sawhney, M., Bae, J., Moric, M., & Sculco, T. P. (2012). Predictors for moderate to severe acute postoperative pain after total hip and knee replacement. *International Orthopaedics*, 36(11), 2261-2267.
- Riemondy, S., Gonzales, L., Gosik, K., Ricords, A., & Schirm, V. (2016, April). Nurses perceptions and attitudes toward use of oral patient-controlled analgesia. *Pain Management Nursing*, 17(2), 132-139.